arXiv:1208.3505v1 [math.DS] 16 Aug 2012

CONDITIONS FOR RATIONAL WEAK MIXING

JON. AARONSON

Abstract. We exhibit rationally ergodic, weakly mixing measure preserving transformations which are not subsequence rationally weakly mixing and give a condition for smoothness of renewal sequences.

Rational weak mixing of a measure preserving transformation was defined and considered in [A1] to which we refer for definitions.

We show by example in §1 that weak rational ergodicity and weak mixing does not imply subsequence rational weak mixing. The main examples are dyadic towers with super-growth sequences (defined below). We also give zero type examples. See [DGPS] for related examples.

A Markov shift is conservative, ergodic iff the associated stochastic matrix is irreducible and recurrent, and in this case is rationally ergodic. It is weakly mixing iff its associated renewal sequences are aperiodic, and (subsequence) rationally weakly mixing iff the associated renewal sequences are (subsequence) smooth (see [A1]).

It is not known whether every aperiodic, recurrent renewal sequence is subsequence smooth, or whether smoothness implies Orey's strong ratio limit property. See §8 in [A1].

Smoothness of the renewal sequence u would follow e.g. from the property $\sum_{n\ge1}|u_n - u_{n+1}| < \infty$. This is known for positively recurrent, aperiodic, renewal sequences and is conjectured in for all aperiodic renewal sequences (see [K] §1.6(iv)).

In §2, we give a sufficient condition establishing smoothness e.g. when $\sum_{n\ge1} u_n$ is 1-regularly varying. This condition entails the property $\sum_{n\ge1}(u_n - u_{n+1})^2 < \infty$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37A40 (37A25, 37A30, 60K15).

The author's research was partially supported by Israel Science Foundation grant No. 1114/08. The author would like to thank the University of Surrey, for hospitality provided when this paper was written.

§1 EXAMPLES

1.1 Dyadic towers over the dyadic adding machine.

Let $\Omega := \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and let $P \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ be symmetric product measure: $P = \prod(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$, and let $\tau : \Omega \to \Omega$ be the dyadic odometer defined by

$$\tau(1,\dots,1,0,\omega_{\ell+1},\dots) = (0,\dots,0,1,\omega_{\ell+1},\dots)$$

where $\ell = \ell(\omega) := \min\{n \ge 1 :\ \omega_n = 0\}$.

An increasing sequence $q \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}(\uparrow)$ is a *growth sequence* as in [A2] if $q_n > \sum_{1 \le k < n} q_k$.

The *dyadic cocycle* $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{N}$ associated to the growth sequence $q \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}(\uparrow)$ is defined by

$$\varphi(\omega) := q_{\ell(\omega)} - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell(\omega)-1} q_k = \sum_{n\ge 1} q_n(\tau(\omega)_k - \omega_k). \tag{⚽}$$

The *dyadic tower* with the growth sequence q is the tower over $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), P, \tau)$ with height function φ, namely $(X, \mathcal{B}(X), m, T)$ with

$$X := \{(x,n) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{N} :\ 1 \le \varphi(x)\},\ m(A \times \{n\} := P(A \cap [\varphi \ge n]),$$

$$T(x,n) = \begin{cases} (x, n+1) & \varphi(x) \ge n+1; \\ (\tau x, 1) & \varphi(x) = n. \end{cases}$$

Rational ergodicity of T. Recall from [A2] that $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ is (boundedly) rationally ergodic with return sequence

$$a_n(T) \asymp 2^{c(n)} \text{ where } c(n) = \min\{k \ge 1 :\ q_k \ge n\}.$$

Weak mixing of T.

By [AN], T is weakly mixing iff $G_2(q) = \{0\}$ where

$$G_2(q) := \{t \in \mathbb{T} :\ \sum_{n \ge 1} \|q_n t\|^2 < \infty\}$$

where $\|x\| := \min_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - n|$.

By lemma 3 and theorem 2 in [P] (see also [AHL]), if $q_{n+1} = a_n q_n + 1$ where $a_n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_n \frac{1}{a_n^2} = \infty$, then $G_2(q) = \{0\}$ and T is weakly mixing. See also [AFS].

Negation of subsequence rational weak mixing.

Let $q \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}(\uparrow)$ be a growth sequence. For $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E} := \{\eta \in \{-1,0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} : \eta_n \to 0\}$, let

$$N_\epsilon := \sum_{k \ge 1} \epsilon_k q_k.$$

It is easy to see that $\epsilon \mapsto N_\epsilon$ ($\mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{Z}$) is injective if q is a *super growth sequence* in the sense that $q_n > 2\sum_{1 \le k < n} q_k$.

For $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$, we have that $N_\epsilon > 0$ iff $\epsilon_{\kappa_{\max}} = 1$ where $\kappa_{\max}(\epsilon) := \max\{k \geq 1 : \epsilon_k \neq 0\}$.

Write $\mathcal{E}^+ := \{\epsilon \in \mathcal{E} : \ \epsilon_{\kappa_{\max}} = 1\}$ and $\|\epsilon\| := \sum_{n\geq 1} |\epsilon_n|$.

We claim that

☺ *A dyadic tower with a super growth sequence cannot be subsequence, rationally weakly mixing.*

Using the above, it is easy to construct super growth sequences $q \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}(\uparrow)$ with $G_2(q) = \{0\}$ and hence with weakly mixing dyadic towers.

The claim (☺) will follow from

$$(🚗) \qquad m(\Omega \cap T^{-n}\Omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{\|\epsilon\|}} & n = N_\epsilon, \ \epsilon \in \mathcal{E}; \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof of (🚗) (see [HK])

Let $\mathcal{N} \geq 1$ and $x \in \Omega$, then $T^{\mathcal{N}}x \in \Omega$ iff $\exists \ N \geq 1$ so that $\varphi_N(x) = \mathcal{N}$.

By (⚽),

$$\mathcal{N} = \varphi_N(x) = \sum_{k\geq 1} q_k(\tau^N(\omega)_k - \omega_k) = \sum_{k\geq 1} \epsilon_k q_k =: N_\epsilon$$

for some $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}^+$.

We now show that $m(\Omega \cap T^{-N_\epsilon}\Omega) = \frac{1}{2^{|\epsilon|}}$.

If $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k, \ m_1 < m_2 < \dots m_\ell$ and

$$\epsilon_{n_i} = 1, \ \epsilon_{m_j} = -1 \ \ \& \ \ \epsilon_n = 0 \ \text{ else,}$$

then

$$\Omega \cap T^{-N_\epsilon}\Omega = \{\omega \in \Omega : \ \omega_{n_i} = 1 \ \forall \ i \ \& \ \epsilon_{m_j} = 0 \ \ \forall \ j\}$$

and $T^{N_\epsilon}\omega = G\omega$ where $G : \Omega \to \Omega$ is defined by

$$G(x)_k = \begin{cases} 1 - x_k & k \in \{n_i\}_i \cup \{m_j\}_j; \\ x_k & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$m(\Omega \cap T^{-N_\epsilon}\Omega) = m(\{\omega \in \Omega : \ \omega_{n_i} = 1 \ \forall \ i \ \& \ \epsilon_{m_j} = 0 \forall \ j\}) = \frac{1}{2^{\|\epsilon\|}}. \quad ☑(🚗)$$

Proof of (☺)

It suffices to show that

$$\varliminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2^{c(n)}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |u_k - u_{k+q_1}| > 0$$

where $u_n = u_n(\Omega) := m(\Omega \cap T^{-n}\Omega)$.

To see this, we restrict summation to $k = N_\epsilon$ where $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}^+$ & $\epsilon_1 = 0$; noting $N_\epsilon \leq n$ iff $\kappa_{\max} \leq c(n)$.

Here

$$u_{N_\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2^{\|\epsilon\|}},\ u_{N_\epsilon+q_1} = \frac{1}{2^{\|\epsilon\|+1}}$$

and

$$u_{N_\epsilon} - u_{N_\epsilon+q_1} = \frac{1}{2}\cdot u_{N_\epsilon}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{n} |u_k - u_{k+q_1}| &\ge \sum_{\epsilon\in\mathcal{E}^+,\ \epsilon_1=0,\ \kappa_{\max}\le c(n)} |u_{N_\epsilon} - u_{N_\epsilon+q_1}| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\epsilon\in\mathcal{E}^+,\ \epsilon_1=0,\ \kappa_{\max}\le c(n)} u_{N_\epsilon} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\epsilon\in\mathcal{E}^+,\ \epsilon_1=0,\ \kappa_{\max}\le c(n)} \frac{1}{2^{\|\epsilon\|}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\sum_{\epsilon\in\mathcal{E}^+,\ \epsilon_1=0,\ \kappa_{\max}\le c(n)} \frac{1}{2^{\|\epsilon\|}} = \sum_{\varnothing\ne F\subset\mathbb{N}\cap[2,c(n)]}\ \sum_{\epsilon\in\mathcal{E}^+,\ \mathsf{supp}\,\epsilon=F} \frac{1}{2^{\#F}}.$$

For fixed F,

$$\#\{\epsilon\in\mathcal{E},\ \mathsf{supp}\,\epsilon = F\} = 2^{\#F}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{n} |u_k - u_{k+q_1}| &\ge \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\epsilon\in\mathcal{E}^+,\ \epsilon_1=0,\ \kappa_{\max}\le c(n)} \frac{1}{2^{\|\epsilon\|}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\#\{\varnothing\ne F\subset\mathbb{N}\cap[2,c(n)]\} \\ &\ge \frac{1}{8}\cdot 2^{c(n)}.\quad ☑\end{aligned}$$

1.2 A zero type example.

Let $(X,\mathcal{B},\mu,T)$ be a weakly mixing, dyadic tower with a super-growth sequence (as above) and let $(Y,\mathcal{C},\nu,S)$ be a conservative, aperiodic ergodic Markov shift with $A\in M(S)$, $u(A)$ Kaluza and with $S\times T$ conservative.

☯ *The transformation $(Y\times X,\mathcal{C}\otimes\mathcal{B},\nu\times\mu,S\times T)$ is zero-type, rationally ergodic and weakly mixing, but not subsequence, rationally weakly mixing.*

Proof

Evidently $S\times T$ is zero type.

To see that $S\times T$ is weakly mixing, let R be an ergodic, probability preserving transformation. It follows (from the weak mixing of T) that

$R \times T$ is conservative, ergodic. Since S is the natural extension of a mildly mixing transformation, we have that

$$(S \times T) \times R = S \times (T \times R)$$

is conservative, ergodic. This shows that $S \times T$ is weakly mixing.

Next, we claim that $S \times T$ is rationally ergodic with $A \times \Omega \in R(S \times T)$.

Proof It suffices to consider the one sided Markov shift $(Y_+, \mathcal{C}_+, \nu, \sigma)$ and show that $\sigma \times T$ is rationally ergodic with $A \times \Omega \in R(\sigma \times T)$.

Write $u_k := \nu(A \cap \sigma^{-n} A)$, then $v_k := u_k - u_{k+1} > 0$ and on $A \times \Omega$, the transfer operator is given by

$$\widehat{\sigma \times T}^n (1_{A \times \Omega})(y, \omega) = \widehat{\sigma}^n (1_A)(y) 1_\Omega (T^{-n} \omega) = u_n 1_\Omega (T^{-n} \omega).$$

Thus, for $(y, \omega) \in A \times \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \le k \le n} \widehat{\sigma \times T}^k (1_{A \times \Omega})(y, \omega) &= \sum_{1 \le k \le n} u_k 1_\Omega (T^{-k} \omega) \\
&= \sum_{1 \le k \le n} u_k (S_k^{(T^{-1})}(1_\Omega)(\omega) - S_{k-1}^{(T^{-1})}(1_\Omega)(\omega)) \\
&= \sum_{1 \le k \le n} v_k S_k^{(T^{-1})}(1_\Omega)(\omega) + u_n S_n^{(T^{-1})}(1_\Omega)(\omega) \\
&\le M \sum_{1 \le k \le n} v_k a_k^{(T)}(\Omega) + M u_n a_n^{(T)}(\Omega) \\
&= M \sum_{1 \le k \le n} u_k \mu(\Omega \cap T^{-k} \Omega) + 2 M u_n a_n^{(T)}(\Omega) \\
&\le 3 M a_n^{(S \times T)}(A \times \Omega).
\end{aligned}$$

Rational ergodicity of $S \times T$ and $A \times \Omega \in R(S \times T)$ follow from this.

To see that $S \times T$ is not rationally weakly mixing, consider $E,\ F \in R(S \times T)$ given by

$$E := A \times \Omega,\ F := A \times T^{-q_1} \Omega,$$

then for $n = N_\epsilon$ with $\epsilon_1 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu \times \mu(E \cap (S \times T)^{-n} E) &- \nu \times \mu(F \cap (S \times T)^{-n} F) \\
&= u_n (\mu(\Omega \cap T^{-n} \Omega) - \mu(\Omega \cap T^{-(n+q_1)} \Omega)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} u_n \mu(\Omega \cap T^{-n} \Omega).
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(S\times T) &\sim \sum_{\epsilon\in\mathcal{E}^+,\ N_\epsilon\le n} u_{N_\epsilon}\frac{1}{2^{\|\epsilon\|}} \\ &\asymp \sum_{\epsilon\in\mathcal{E}^+,\ \epsilon_1=0,\ N_\epsilon\le n} u_{N_\epsilon}\frac{1}{2^{\|\epsilon\|}} \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1\le k\le n} |\nu\times\mu(E\cap(S\times T)^{-k}E)-\nu\times\mu(F\cap(S\times T)^{-k}F)| \\ &\qquad\qquad = \sum_{1\le k\le n} u_n|\mu(\Omega\cap T^{-n}\Omega)-\mu(\Omega\cap T^{-(n+q_1)}\Omega)| \\ &\qquad\qquad \ge \sum_{\epsilon\in\mathcal{E}^+,\ \epsilon_1=0,\ N_\epsilon\le n} u_{N_\epsilon}\frac{1}{2^{\|\epsilon\|}} \\ &\qquad\qquad \asymp a_n(S\times T).\ \text{☑☯} \end{aligned}$$

§2 SMOOTHNESS OF RENEWAL SEQUENCES

Suppose that $u=u^{(f)}=(u_0,u_1,\dots)$ is an aperiodic, recurrent, renewal sequence with lifetime distribution $f\in\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$.

The renewal sequence u is called *smooth* if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}|u_k-u_{k+1}|=o(a_n)\ \text{as}\ n\to\infty\quad\text{where}\ a_n=a_n^{(u)}:=\sum_{k=1}^{n}u_k.$$

It follows from [GL] that if $u=(u_0,u_1,\dots)$ is an aperiodic, recurrent, renewal sequence and $a^{(u)}$ is α-regularly varying with $\alpha\in(0,1)$, then u is smooth (see [A1]). The case $\alpha=1$ follows from the next proposition (which is related to proposition 8.3 in [A1]).

For $u=u^{(f)}$, let $c_N:=f([N,\infty))$ and $L(N):=\sum_{k=1}^{N}c_k$.

Proposition *If $u=(u_0,u_1,\dots)$ is an aperiodic, recurrent, renewal sequence with*

(☞)
$$\overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}}\frac{Nc_N}{L(N)}<\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}+1},$$

then u is smooth.

Remark If $a^{(u)}$ is t-regularly varying for some $t>\frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{5}+1}$, then by the renewal equation and Karamata theory, $L(N)\propto\frac{N}{a^{(u)}(N)}$ is $(1-t)$-regularly varying, $Nc_N\sim(1-t)L(N)$ and (☞) holds.

Proof We show first that

(⚽)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(u_k-u_{k+1})^2<\infty.$$

Let $u = u^{(f)}$ and let $c_N := f([N,\infty))$, $M(N) := \sum_{1\le n\le N} nf_n$ and $V(N) := \sum_{1\le n\le N} n^2 f_n$.

By (☞), $\exists\ R < \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}+1}$ so that $Nc_N \le RL(N))$ for large N. It follows that

$$NC_N \le RL(N) = R(M(N) + NC_N)$$

whence

$$NC_N \le \frac{R}{1-R}\cdot M(N) \tag{🚲}$$

for large N where $\frac{R}{1-R} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$.

In particular

$$M(N) \asymp L(N) \quad \text{as } N \to \infty;$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 M(n)^2} < \infty.$$

We'll use these to prove (⚽).

By Parseval's formula, and the renewal equation,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|\theta|^2 d\theta}{|1-f(\theta)|^2} < \infty \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(u_n - u_{n+1})^2 < \infty$$

where $f(\theta) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n e^{in\theta}$. By aperiodicity, $\sup_{\epsilon\le|\theta|\le\pi} |f(\theta)| < 1\ \forall\ \epsilon > 0$ whence (using symmetry)

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|\theta|^2 d\theta}{|1-f(\theta)|^2} < \infty \iff \int_0^{\epsilon} \frac{\theta^2 d\theta}{|1-f(\theta)|^2} < \infty \ \texttt{for some}\ \epsilon > 0.$$

For $|\theta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}1 - f(\theta) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(2\sin^2(\frac{n\theta}{2}) - i\sin(n\theta)) \\ &= (\sum_{1\le n\le\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}} + \sum_{n>\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}})f_n(2\sin^2(\frac{n\theta}{2}) - i\sin(n\theta))\end{aligned}$$

and

$$|1-f(\theta)| \ge |\sum_{1\le n\le\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}}| - |\sum_{n>\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}}|.$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Big|\sum_{1\le n\le\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}}\Big| &= \sqrt{\Big(\sum_{1\le n\le\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}} f_n 2\sin^2(\frac{n\theta}{2})\Big)^2+\Big(\sum_{1\le n\le\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}} f_n\sin(n\theta)\Big)^2}\\
&\ge \Big|\sum_{1\le n\le\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}} f_n\sin(n\theta)\Big|\\
&\ge \frac{2}{\pi}\cdot|\theta|\sum_{1\le n\le\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}} nf_n\\
&= \frac{2}{\pi}\cdot|\theta|M(\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|});
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Big|\sum_{n>\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}}\Big| &= \Big|\sum_{n>\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}} f_n(2\sin^2(\frac{n\theta}{2})-i\sin(n\theta))\Big|\\
&\le \sqrt{\Big(\sum_{n>\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}} f_n 2\sin^2(\frac{n\theta}{2})\Big)^2+\Big(\sum_{n>\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}} f_n\sin(n\theta)\Big)^2}\\
&\le \sqrt{5}c_{\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By assumption $\exists\ \Delta>0$ so that for $|\theta|<\Delta$,

$$c_{\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}}\le R'\frac{2|\theta|}{\pi}M(\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|})$$

where $R'=\frac{R}{1-R}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(1-\eta)$ for some $\eta>0$; whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
|1-f(\theta)| &\ge \frac{2}{\pi}\cdot|\theta|M(\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|})-\sqrt{5}c_{\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}}\\
&\ge \frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}M(\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|})(1-\sqrt{5}R')\\
&= \frac{2\eta}{\pi|\theta|}M(\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $N \geq 1,\ \Delta > \frac{\pi}{N}$, then

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{N}} \frac{\theta^2 d\theta}{|1 - f(\theta)|^2} \ll \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{N}} \frac{\theta^2 d\theta}{(\theta M(\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|}))^2}$$

$$= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{N}} \frac{d\theta}{M(\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|})^2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\frac{\pi}{n}} \frac{d\theta}{M(\frac{2}{\pi|\theta|})^2}$$

$$\asymp \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 M(n)^2} < \infty. \quad ☑(⚽)$$

To see smoothness, by assumption

$$\log L(N) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{c_k}{L(k)} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}k} + O(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \log N + O(1)$$

whence

$$L(N) = O(N^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}}).$$

Using the renewal equation, $a_u(n) \asymp \frac{n}{L(n)}$, whence $a_u(n) \gg N^{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}}$ and

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a_n} \ll \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}}} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0.$$

By `CSI`

$$\frac{1}{a_u(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |u_k - u_{k+1}| \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a_u(n)} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (u_k - u_{k+1})^2} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0. \quad ☑$$

References

[A1] Aaronson, J. *Rational weak mixing in infinite measure spaces.* arXiv:1105.3541

[A2] J. Aaronson, *Rational ergodicity, bounded rational ergodicity and some continuous measures on the circle.* A collection of invited papers on ergodic theory. Israel J. Math. 33 (1979), no. 3-4, 181–197 (1980).

[AFS] T. Adams, N. Friedman, and C. E. Silva. *Rank-one weak mixing for non-singular transformations.* Israel J. Math., **102** (1997) 269–281.

[AHL] J. Aaronson, M. Hosseini, M. Lemańczyk, *IP-rigidity and eigenvalue groups* ,arXiv:1203.2257.

[AN] J. Aaronson, M.G. Nadkarni, *L_∞ eigenvalues and L_2 spectra of non-singular transformations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 930 55 9 (1987), 538-570.

[DGPS] Dai I, Garcia X, Pădurariu T, Silva CE, *On rationally ergodic and rationally weakly mixing rank-one transformations*, Preprint.

[GL] Garsia, A.; Lamperti, J. *A discrete renewal theorem with infinite mean.* Comment. Math. Helv. **37** (1962/1963), 221–234.

[HK] Hajian, A. ; Kakutani, S. *Example of an ergodic measure preserving transformation on an infinite measure space.* Contributions to Ergodic Theory and Probability (Proc. Conf., Ohio State Univ., Columbus, Ohio, 1970) pp. 45–52 Springer, Berlin , 1970.

[K] Kingman, J. F. C. *Regenerative phenomena.* Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics. John Wiley& Sons Ltd., London-New York-Sydney, 1972.

[P] F. Parreau, *Ergodicité et pureté des produits de Riesz*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **40** (1990) 391–405.

School of Math. Sciences, Tel Aviv University, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel.

E-mail address: `aaro@tau.ac.il`